# GRADINGAND LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY DETERMINATION OF OLD TIMBER BEAMS

By F. Arriaga, L. Garcia, K.G. Gebremedhin and F. Peraza

# 1.INTRODUCTION

Inoldwood buildings, the structural members are solid sections that are normally produced from a singletree. Large sizes of solid timber members are not readily available nowadays. If large size members are needed, glulam members are used.

The graon grules used at present are designed for smalls zet nicers. The application of these rules in arge sizer moer is afficit, and a high percentage of these pieces would be rejected if the same rules are applied. But in reality, large size timber beams have been in use and have been functioning well in service.

Theobietivesofthis study were: (1) to develop a procedure for obtaining geometric and mechanical properties of largesize, old timber beams, and (2) to use the information collected to establish simple grading rules of methods to characterize the mechanical properties of structural members in-situ.

The practical implication of the study is to develop rnethods of determining mechanical properties in-situ for existing structural members. Information of this nature would be essential to develop amaintenance schedule for restoration of oldwood buildings made of large sizes timber members.

As gnif cant amount of time was spent to get as much information assossiole to characterize the mechanical properties of the beams. Graphical and numerical information were recorded in beam "cards". Acomplete analysis of the data will be performed in the future.

## 2.METHODS

## 2.1 Compilation ot Intormations of Each Piece

First, the beamswere inspected and the ones that were damaged severely were rejected. Three approaches followed to characterize the mechanical properties of the beams: (1) ultrasonic method, (2) mechan ca testing, and 3 visua grading. Mosture content and spec fic we ahi of sample pieces were a some as reo

Before any of the above methods were applied, asignificant amount oi information about the general character siles of the peces, such as omensiones permanent defections in natural defects (knots, checks and shakes, slope of grain, wanes, annual rings) were measured.

## Wood Beams:

The beamswere obtained from two old buildings in the province of Madrid. The beams were classified into two groups hereafter reterreoto as Group Aand Group B.

Group Acons stee of 20 foor oists naving an aproximate crosssection of 13 cm x 18 cm, and were 4 to 5 m long. These joists were procured from a 130 years old building in Madrid City (the old center).

Group B consisted of 14 roof rafters having an approximate cross-section of 12 cm x16 cm and were 4 m long. These rafters were procured from a building in the center of Vallecas, Madrid province. The building is stimated to be 80 to 90 years old.

#### Reference:

The sides of the joists and rafters (hereafter referred to as beams) were marked 1 to 4, number 1 being the bottom or the support plane. The edge of a straight glulam beamwas used as a

reference plane and all knot and check measurementsalong the beamswere measured with respect to the reference plane shown in Figure 1. The  ${\bf y}_1$  and  ${\bf y}_2$  measurements in Figure 1 were measured with respect to the reference plane Measurements of y, and  ${\bf y}_2$  were made every 30 cm.

Permanent Deflection:

Permanent deflections were measured in the middle 2.70t03.60 msegment of each beam for Group A but was impossible to do so for Group B because ottheir irregularities. The span to deflection ratios obtained from the measurement are given in Table 1

As shown in Table 1, only 4 of the 17 beams had adeflection largerthan 11360. The maximum bending stress in service due to permanent loads was estimated to be between 55 and 59 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Knots

Knots in each side orface of the beams were measured with respect to the reference. As shown in Figure 2, x refers to the disiance from the center of the knotto the reference point, and y refers to the distance from the center of the knotto the reference plane. Other knot dimensions taken include diameter ( \$\phi\$) when circular, and \$d\_a\$ and (Figure 2) if elliptical.

Checks and Shakes:

Checksandshakesineach face of the beamswere measured and recorded. In esstney were considered on one enging, work, a, and depth p, of snakesanoihe recation nine beam were measured (Fig. 3).

Wanes:

Wanes in each face of the beams were measured every 30 cm length. The dimensions of each waneand its location on the beam were recorded. Measurements relative to wanes are shown in Fig. 4.

Slope of Grain

Slopeofgrain was calculated using the deviation of the shakes and checks. Slopes were calculated as the tangent of the angle,  $(y_2 - y_2)/1$ , (Fig. 3).

Rate of Growth:

Average to ckness of annua ringswasoeiermineoaccoro ngio the Ecoromic Commission for Europe (ECE). Recommended Standardsforstressgrading of structural coniferous sawn timber. Averagethicknesswas 1.8 mm for Group A and 2.4 mm for Group B.

#### 2.2. Visual Grading

Grading Rules:

The beams were visually graded according to the Economic Commission for Europe (ECE) recommended standards for stress grading of structural coniferous sawn timber (U.N. Economic Commission to Europe, 1982). This Standard distinguishes three grades \$10 \$8 and \$6 of sawn timber Knot eva uation was pased on the concept of Knot Area Ratio KARJ. Other stanoard crgraoing rules will be considered in the future.

**Grading Parameters:** 

The following defects were considered for visually grading the heares:

Xnots-ineiota anomarg n XAR were ca cu ated according to me ECE recommenoeosianoard nc uo ng KAR projections oftnose knots that were within a disranceol less than or equal to the depth ofthebeam, in these ction of rupture. Graphic representation of knot projections were performed for the rupture section of each beam (Fig. 5).

Checks and Shakes -an average length of checks and shakes was considered.

Slopeofgrain-calculatedfromtheslopeandchecksandshakes.

Wanes-the wanes near the rupture section were evaluated by taking the ratio of wanelength to width or death of the cross section.

Average thickness of annual rings - this parameter was also considered in the visualgrading procedure.

Gradina Criteria:

Two grading criteriawereemployed. One criteria (Criteria 1) considers the strici application of the ECE recommenaedgraping rules, whereastnese conocrteria (Crieria 2), treats wanes as knots

The following characteristics were considered in the visual grading of themembers:

- **A.1.** Knots -total KAR (ratio of the sum of projected cross-sectional areaofknots and totalcross-sectional areaofkhots area of the sum of projected cross-sectional area of knots or portions of knots in the margin section and the cross sectional **area of** the **margin**, KM). Margin is defined as the areas adjoining the edges of the cross-section with occupies one-quarter of the totalcross-sectional area of the piece. Definitionsketchesof total KAR and margin KAR are shown in Figure 5.
- **A.2.** Knots-total KAR (KT') and margin KAR (KM') similartoA.1 but treating theareasoccupied by wanesasareasof knots.
  - B. Checksandshakes
  - C. Slopeof grain
  - D. Wanes
  - E. Rate ofgrowth

Criteria 1 considers A.1, B, C, Dand E, while Criteria 2 considers A.2, B, C and E. Assigned grades include: \$10, \$8, \$6 and \$X (where, \$X\$ is assigned to those pieces that did not satisfy the requirements for the lowest grade). Table 2 summarizes the results of the visual grading procedure.

2.3 Ultrasonic Method

Ultrasonicmethods have been in use to evaluate load carrying capacity of timber structures in service. Ultrasonic methods are used to determine the dynamic elastic modulus of timber. The procedure followed in this study was the same as that used for structural members in-situ.

Equipment:

The equipment used was Steinkamp-ultrasonic Tester BP V. Scale of measurement ranges from 0.1 to 9999.9 s. The testing spikes were conical shape stainless steel ends. The conical shape ends allowimpulse emission in aconcentrate way without using a special connecting device. The frecuency of the ultrasonic wave ranges between 40 to 50 KHz. The transducer was made of lead titanate-circonate.

Wave Velocity Meacurementc:

Wave velocity measurements were performed (1) perpendicular to the grain, and (2) parallel to the grain.

Wave velocity measurements perpendicular to the grain: In these measurements, the spikes were aligned as shown in Figure 6. This procedure is called the direct method. Wave velocity measurements were taken at three points, marked as 1,2 and 3 in Figure 6, at a distance of x = 130 cm for Group A, and 120 cm for Group B from the origin of the reference point. This section will be referred to, hereafter, as the initial section.

Theouterpositions, 1 and 3, in Figure 6, were 3 cm from the ends and position 2 was in the middle. The wavevelocity perpendicular to the grain was calculated as the average value of the wave velocities at the three positions.

Wavevelocity measurements parallel (almost) to the grain: the spikes were possible semi-direct method. Six different readings were taken between the initial section, where  $x=130\,\mathrm{cm}$  for Group A, and 120 cm for Group B, and the final section, where  $x=240\,\mathrm{cm}$  for Group B, and the final section, where  $x=240\,\mathrm{cm}$  for Group B, within the third middle section of each piece. The spikes were placed 100 to 110 cm apartatanangle ( $\alpha$ ) 6 to 7° from the axisofthepiece (Fig. 7). The ultrasonic wave velocity of a beam parallel to the grainwas taken as the average of the six wavevelocities.

The dynamic modulus of elasticity was calculated (without correction for Poisson coefficient) using the following expression:

 $E_1 = V^{2*} d$ 

were,  $E_d = dynamic modulus of elasticity (N/m<sup>2</sup>)$ 

v = ultrasonic wavevelocity (mls)

d = density of material (kg/m³).

The density oithe material was obtained from a small piece taken outfrom the beam cross-section. The dvnamic modulus of elasticity are given in Table 3.

2.4 Mechanical Tecting

Two bending tests were performed on each piece in order to obtain the longitudinal modulus of elasticity, shear modulus and

modulus rupture. The pieces were tested according to the procedures outlined in the "Timber Structures-Solid Timber and Glued Laminated Timber-Determination of Some Phisycal and Mechanical Properties" pr EN 408 (1991).

#### Test 1. Apparent Modulus of Elasticity

The first test was a non-destructive test to determine the apparent modulus of elasticity in bending ( $E_{ab}$ ). The specimen was supported over a central span length of  $I_1$  ( $I_1 = 92$  cm for Group A, and 84 cm for Group B). A concentrated force was applied at the middle point. The distance between supports ( $I_1$ ) was approximately equal to 5d, where d was the depth of the beam, as recommended in the Standard. One of the supports was located a distance of  $I_2$  from de end of the beam ( $I_2 = 139$  cm for the Group A, and 128 cm for Group B). The test set up used for determining the apparent modulus of elasticity is shown in Figure 8.

Test 2. Modulus of Elasticity and Modulus of Rupture

In this test, the specimen was supported over a span of I, where, I was  $3.30 \, \text{m}$  for Group A, and  $3.00 \, \text{m}$  For Group B. Two concentrated forces were applied at third points of the span. The slenderness value in each Group was  $I_1 = 18 \, \text{d}$ , as recommended in the Standard (d = depth of beam). These tupforthis testing procedure is shown in Figure 9.

Relative deflections were measured at the middle third of the piece where shear force is equal to zero. Therefore, "true" modulus of elaticity (E), with no influence of shear could be determined.

To determine the modulus of rupture ( $\sigma$ ), the load was increased until the beamwas ruptured. The mode of rupture was graphically recorded. Once the "true" and apparent modulus of elasticity were obtained, the shear modulus (G) was calculated. The results of the mechanical test are given in Table 4. The shear modulus was calculated from the expression

$$G = Kg * d^2 / (I_1^2 * (1/Eap - 1/E))$$

where, kg = constant depending on the shape of the cross section (for a rectangular section, kg = 1.2).

d = depth of beam

I, = length at which deflection was measured

E<sub>ap</sub> = apparent modulus of elasticity

E = "true" modulusof elastiticy

If  $E_{ap}$  is greater than E,G will be negative which is meaningless. Theoretically, Eshould be greater than  $E_{ap}$  because both values are obtained from deflection measurements in the same portion of the beam, and in the test for  $E_{ap}$  (Test 1), the shear deflection reduces the apparent modulus elasticity whereas in the test for E (Test 2), shear is equal to zero,

In this study, however, some test results show that E is lower than  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{ap}}$  and this ratio EIG is very variable. The apparent reason for this reverse case is that knots or natural defects, are not necessarily uniformly distributed along the portion where deflections are measured. Secondly, the bending moment in the section of interest is not constant for the case in Test 1 but is constant for Test 2. Therefore, defects could have more impact in Test 2 (measurements for E) than in Test 1 (measurements for  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{ap}}$ ) because of the bending moment distribution in the bearn.

Moicture Content

Moisturecontent of each beam was obtained according to the procedures outlined in the pr. EN 408, a European standard being developed based on the ISO 8375. A 4 cm thick slide was cut out from the cross-section next to the rupture area of the beam and was kiln dried at  $103\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$  until a constant weight was obtained. The average moisture content obtained was 9.72% for Group A, and 9.92% for Group B.

Specific Weight

Specific weight was obtained from a rectangular 4 cm thickpiece cutoutfrom each beam close to the rupture area. The pieces were free from knots and resin pockets. The pieces were cut out almost parallel to the radial and tangencial directions of the wood. The results are given in Table 3.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Visual Grading

Three grades, \$10, \$8 and \$6, were considered. The fourth "grade", was assigned to the pieces that were rejected by the ECE rules. Two grading procedures were employed. Procedure 1 considersallthegradingparametersincludingnots, checks, wanes, slope of grain and rate of growth. Procedure 2 considers only total and margin KAR of knots. Both criteria were applied to see the difference in results when as Irict application of the grading rules and when only knots were used as the basis for grading. A strict application of the grading rules rejected a high percentage of the test speciments in Group B mainly because of higher existence of wanes. This grading rule is perhaps inadequate to be used for grading gross cross-section timber. Table 5 summarizes the resulted gradesandthe number of pieces (N) assigned to each grade.

## 3.2. Linear Regression Analysis

Linear regression analysis was performed for the following test data:

- (1) Group (A+B): Data from Group A and B were combined together for this analysis. The sample size was 28. The data associated with some test problems or beams known to be broken pefore the test were excluded from the analysis. The rejected test peces wereho 14 and 15 from Group A anoho 3, 4, 11 ano 12 from Group B
  - 2 Group A The sample size for this analysis was 18
  - 3 Group B The sample's zelfor this analysis was 10

The following variables were included in the arraiys's

E = modulus of elasticity obtained from the mechanical test

G = shear modulus obtained from the mechanical test

EIG = E to G ratio

o = modulus of rupture

d = specificweight at known moisturecontent

E = dynamic modulus of elasticity

KT =totalKAR of the rupture section

KM = margin KAR of the rupture section

KT1 = total KAR butconsideringwaneareasas knot areasatlhe rupturesection

KM¹ = margin KAR butconsidering waneareas as knot areasat the rupture section

The correlation coefficients between the variables are given n Taole 6. The mean, standard devat on ano the coefficient of var.ationol E to Grat.os weiea soca culated eno the resulisare given in Table 7.

Thecorrelationcoefficientbetween modulusof elasticity(E) and modulus of rupture (σ) was 0.76 for Group A, but dropped to 0.42 for Group B probably because of the higher dimensional irregularities with the latter. The mean value of the ratio of E to G was 16 (Table 7), and showed high variability.

There is strong relationship between specific weight and mechanical properties of small clear wood specimen. But this relationshipwasnotevidentforthestructural size members in this study probably because of higher influence of knots and other natural characterislicson slrengtnthanspecificweight.

Therewere higher correlation between mechanical properties when grading was based on real knots than when area of wanes were also considered as knots. When only knots are considered the effects of local deviation of grain around knots on mechanical properties were included but these effects does not exist when area of wanes are assumed as area of knots

The correlation between KAR and modulus of rupture was higher than that between KAR and modulus of elasticity. This may be because the considered KAR values were limited to the rupture sectionwhereas modulusof elasticity dependsonlhe KAR of the entire section of the middle third of the beam under test. The correlation between the mechanical properties and KAR was small

for Group B, however.

4. Ultrasonic Method

The main advantage in using ultrasonic methods for characterizing timber is its ease of taking measurements in-situ provided lhattest specimens are accesible irom three sides.

The major inconvenience in using the method is the difficulty in calculatingthe density of members in-situ. Density is required to calculate the dynamic modulus of elasticity. The relation between modulusofelasticity anddensity islinear butthe relation between modulucof elasticity and veloctity is squared. Therefore, ilwould be reasonably acceptable to use density values from the literature.

The correlation coefficient between the dynamic modulus of elasticity obtained using theultrasonic method (E,) and theelastic modulus determined by non-destuctivetesting (E) was 0.61 for Group A. Similarly, the correlation between E, and modulus of rupture (o) was 0.59 (Table 6). The correlation between E\_and E dropped to 0.41 for Group B. This discrepancy may be due to the highersize irregularities of this Group.

Identicalultrasonicmeasurementswerealso takenwhenthe beamswereunder loadand nosignificant difference was observed compared to the results obtained when the beams were tested withoutload.

# 5. SUMMARY

Thirty fourtimber beams (20 floor joists, 13 x 18 cmin crosssection, and 14 roof rafters, 12 x 16 cm in cross-section) that were 90 to 130 years old were graded using (1) visual grading rules, (2) ultrasonicapplication, and (3) non-destuctive testing. Before any of the grading procedures were applied, complete and accurate measurementsofdimensions and natural characteristics of each piece were taken. A data base of each piece was established for future analyses.

The beams were visually graded according to the grading rules recommended by ine Economic Commissions for Europe ECE 1982) referred to as the KAR system. The dynamic modulus of elasticity (E<sub>s</sub>) was calculated from ultrasonic wave velocity measurements. Modulusofelasticity (E), shear modulus (G) and modulus of rupture (o) were calculated from load-deflection characteristics. Correlationscoefficientswere obtained between KAR and  $\sigma$  (0.55 to 0.63,  $E_d$  and  $\sigma$  (0.59), and E and  $\sigma$  (0.76) for Group A. Futher analysis of the data and more accurate visual grading methods will be explored in the future.

# 6. FUTURE WORK:

Future workwillfocuson:

- (1) Microscopic identification and characterization of the pieces.
- (2) Establishrelationship betweenmechanicalproperties and utrason cimeasurements of small clear specimens
- 3. Determination of the effect of density on modulus of elasticity calculated using the ultrasonic method.
- (4) Establish grading procedure based on the mechanical properties obtained from test results and then deducing the natural characteristicsofeach group (reverse aproach).
  - (5) Computersimulation of load carrying capacity of floor joists.

Acknowledgment:

The research project was funded in part by the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología, Spain (C.I.C.Y.T.) (PAT 90-0224).